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Research Goal and Hypotheses
We investigate how finger gnosia, fine motor skills (finger agility and object manipulation), and spatial working memory capacity (WM) are associated with preschool children's finger counting and finger montring skills.

Hypothesis 1: Finger gnosia, fine motor skills, and spatia WM are associated with finger counting/montring skills
Hypothesis 2: Fine motor skills are more strongly associated with finger counting / montring skills than finger gnosia
Hypothesis 3: Spatial WM moderates these associations which should be stronger for children with low WM capacity

## Participants

$n=271$ children ( 127 girls)
Age: $M=5.0$ years, $S D=9.78$,
range: 40-81 months
Handedness: 246 right, 17 left,
1 alternating
Tasks
Finger gnosia
Non-motoric task: Is the same finger touched on the child's hand and the drawing?
7 items, 0-7 points
Datasets from two studies ( $n=141$ and $n=155$ )
Mostly identical tasks
25 participants excluded due to missing data
Data collection 2018-2020 in Southern Germany



Fine motor skill: Finger agility
Lift the same finger as the experimenter and tap it on the table while not moving other fingers 16 items, 0-16 points

Fine motor skill: Object manipulation
Thread 6-12 beads as fast as possible (MABC-2 ${ }^{6}$ )
2 trials, faster one is scored in sec.


Spatial working memory
Corsi Block Tapping Task, Backward: Copying a sequence of tapped blocks in reverse order Two trials per span
Longest correctly replicated span $\rightarrow$ WM span

## Finger counting

Count to $X$ on your fingers (e.g., «three»)
6 items (numbers 2-7), 0-6 points $\square$ „one" „two" three

Finger montring

- Show me $X$ fingers (e.g., «three»)

6 items (numbers 2-7), 0-6 points

Descriptive Statistics
Table 1. Descriptive Statistics

|  | $N$ | $\min$ | $\max$ | $M$ | SD | Skew | Kurtosis |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age in months | 271 | 40 | 81 | 59.53 | 9.78 | .404 | -.861 |
| Finger gnosia (sum of solved items) | 271 | 0 | 7 | 4.74 | 1.31 | -.252 | .063 |
| Finger agility (sum of solved items) | 270 | 0 | 16 | 10.46 | 3.85 | -.561 | -.152 |
| Object manipulation (time in seconds) | 271 | 19 | 205 | 47.22 | 21.51 | 2.78 | 13.49 |
| Spatial WM (backward span) | 247 | 0 | 6 | 2.63 | 1.57 | .161 | -.235 |
| Finger counting (sum of solved items) | 269 | 0 | 6 | 5.19 | 1.51 | -2.04 | 3.26 |
| Finger montring (sum of solved items) | 267 | 0 | 6 | 4.97 | 1.51 | -1.509 | 1.491 |
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## Theoretical Background

Finger gnosia, fine motor skills, and spatial working memory (WM) have been identified as domain-genera precursor skills to children's early numerical and mathematical competencies ${ }^{1,2,3}$
WM in particular has been consistently associated with mathematical skills, specifically so in children with mathematical difficulties ${ }^{4}$
Finger gnosia and fine motor skills, in turn, are reportedly more strongly related to basic numerical skills and have been argued to impact early finger counting (counting on one's fingers) and finger montring skills (displaying numerical magnitudes with one's hands) ${ }^{5}$
However, it is unclear how children's spatial WM capacity impacts the effect that finger gnosia and fine motor skills might have on their ability to count or represent magnitudes with their fingers

Results Hypotheses 1+2: Associations
Table 2. Partial Spearman correlation coefficients controlling for age.

| 1 | Finger counting | -- |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | Finger montring | .569** | -- |  |  |  |
| 3 | Finger gnosia | . 101 | . 116 | -- |  |  |
| 4 | Finger agility | .222** | . $148{ }^{*}$ | . 069 | -- |  |
| 5 | Object manipulation | .142* | .165** | . 030 | .174*******) | -- |
| 6 | Spatial WM | .207* | .175** | .166** | . 085 | .187** |

Tabe 3. Multiple linear regression models predicting finger counting and finger montring.

|  | Finger counting |  |  | Finger montring |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | B | SE B | $\beta$ | $B$ | SE B | $\beta$ |
| Intercept | . 044 | . 053 |  | . 046 | . 054 |  |
| Finger gnosia | . 067 | . 056 | . 072 | . 082 | . 057 | . 086 |
| Finger agility | . 203 | . 058 | . $214{ }^{* *}$ | . 136 | . 059 | .138* |
| Object manipulation | . 121 | . 061 | .121* | . 166 | . 063 | .158** |
| Spatial WM | . 176 | . 066 | .191** | . 194 | . 067 | .206** |
| Age | . 123 | . 066 | . 132 | . 160 | . 067 | .168* |
| $R^{2}$ | .226** |  |  | .239** |  |  |

Results Hypothesis 3: Moderating Effects of Spatial Working Memory

Spatial WM moderating the association between finger agility and finger counting

| Effects on finger counting | $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ | SE $\beta$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Finger agility | . $\mathbf{2 0 7}$ | .056 |  |
| Spable 4. Results of |  |  |  |
| Spatial WM | . $\mathbf{2 1 6 ^ { * * }}$ | .063 | moderation analysis |
| Finger agility $x$ Spatial WM | $\mathbf{- 1 7 7 ^ { * * }}$ | .059 |  |
| Age | $\mathbf{. 1 5 6}$ | .065 |  |

Fig. 2. Simple Fig. 2. Simple
slopes of the slopes of the
interaction between finger agility and spatia agility
WM


Spatial WM moderating the association between object manipulation and finger counting


Spatial WM moderating the association between finger agility and finger montring

| Effects on finger montring | B | SE $\beta$ | Table 5. Results of moderation analysis |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Finger agility | .149* | . 058 |  |
| Spatial WM | .249** | . 065 |  |
| Finger agility x Spatial WM | -.153* | . 060 |  |
| Age | .187** | . 067 |  |



Spatial WM moderating the association between object manipulation and finger montring

| Effects on finger montring | $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ | $\mathbf{S E \boldsymbol { \beta }}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Object manipulation | .105 | .069 |
| Spable 7. Results of |  |  |
| Spaial WM | $.257^{* *}$ | .068 |
| Object manipulation x Spatial WM | $-.185^{* *}$ | .063 |
| Age | $.230^{* *}$ | .066 |



Summary and Discussion
Children's fine motor skills and spatial WM capacity, but not finger gnosia, were significantly associated with their finger counting/montring skills
Spatial WM capacity moderates the association between fine motor skills and finger counting / montring skills, which is strongest for children with low WM capacity and not significant for children with high WM capacity
Children with low spatial WM capacity might rely more on their fine motor skills to count / display magnitudes with their fingers compared to children with high working memory capacity, who might not need to
Future research and intervention on finger counting skills should consider both spatial WM and fine motor skills
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